1	WHEREAS, Hynix filed its Bill of Costs Pursuant to Fed. Rules App. Proc., Rule		
2	39(e) on May 27, 2011;		
3	WHEREAS, Rambus Inc. ("Rambus") filed a Motion to Extend Time for		
4	Objections to Hynix's Bill of Costs and for the Court to Hear the Bill of Costs in the First		
5	Instance (Local Rule 6-3), on June 2, 2011;		
6	NOW, THEREFORE, SUBJECT TO THE APPROVAL OF THE COURT, IT IS		
7	HEREBY STIPULATED AND AGREED, by and among the undersigned counsel for Hynix and		
8	Rambus, that:		
9	(1) The Court, rather than the Clerk, will consider Hynix's Bill of Costs and		
10	Supplemental Bill of Costs and Rambus's Objections in the first instance;		
11	(2) Hynix has through and including fifteen (15) days after the mandate issues		
12	from the Federal Circuit to submit a Supplemental Bill of Costs Pursuant to Fed. Rules App.		
13	Proc., Rule 39(e);		
14	(3) In the event Hynix files a Supplemental Bill of Costs pursuant to paragraph		
15	(2), Rambus has through and including thirty-seven (37) days after the mandate issues to submit		
16	Rambus's Objections to Hynix's Bill of Costs and any Supplemental Bill of Costs;		
17	(4) In the event Hynix does not file a Supplemental Bill of Costs after the		
18	mandate issues pursuant to paragraph (2), Rambus has through and including thirty (30) days		
19	after the mandate issues to file its Objections;		
20	(5) Hynix and Rambus have through and including fifteen (15) days after		
21	Rambus files its Objections to file a statement identifying any cost items or objections that have		
22	been withdrawn based upon their meet and confer efforts, and also to identify the cost items that		
23	remain in dispute;		
24	(6) Hynix has through and including fifteen (15) days after Rambus files its		
25	Objections to file papers in response to Rambus's Objections to the Bill of Costs;		
26	(7) Oral argument on the Bill of Costs, Supplemental Bill of Costs, and		
27	Rambus's Objections shall be heard, if the Court wishes to hear oral argument, at a time that is		
28	convenient for the Court after Hynix files its response to Rambus's Objections:		

## Case 5:00-cv-20905-RMW Document 4042 Filed 06/23/11 Page 3 of 3

1	(8) The schedule set forth above is subject to modification by Court order, either		
2	upon request from either party or on the Court's own initiative, or by agreement of the parties		
3	subject to Court approval.		
4		MUNICED TOLLEG & OLGONILLD	
5	DATED: June 10, 2011	MUNGER, TOLLES & OLSON LLP	
6		By: /s/ Fred A. Rowley, Jr. Fred A. Rowley, Jr.	
7		<b>3</b> /	
8		Counsel for Rambus Inc.	
9	DATED: June 10, 2011	TOWNSEND & TOWNSEND & CREW LLP	
10			
11		By: /s/ Ted Brown Ted Brown	
12			
13		Counsel for Hynix Semiconductor Inc.; Hynix Semiconductor America Inc.; Hynix Semiconductor	
14		U.K. Ltd.; and Hynix Semiconductor Deutschland GmbH	
15			
16			
17			
18			
19		<u>O R D E R</u>	
20	IT IS SO ORDERED.		
21			
22	DATED: June <u>23</u> , 2011		
23		Konald M. Whyte	
<ul><li>24</li><li>25</li></ul>		Honorable Ronald M. Whyt	
26		United States District Court Judge	
27			
28			